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# Executive Summary

This analysis report outlines the key areas where further analysis was required for the assignment, detailing the specific challenges encountered and the solutions implemented to address them. The report is structured around analysis records, each corresponding to specific requirements that needed more in-depth examination. For each record, the verbatim requirement is provided, followed by a detailed analysis and the decisions made to resolve the issues identified. Additionally, the report includes links to the validation provided by the lecturer, confirming the appropriateness of the solutions.

Not every requirement was subject to analysis, as only those that posed challenges or required adjustments were included. The process involved reviewing the requirements, identifying gaps or ambiguities, and making informed decisions on how to approach them. The analysis also emphasizes the importance of posting records in the forum for additional insights and lecturer feedback. Overall, this report serves as a reflection of the thought process behind addressing specific challenges, ensuring that the assignment met all necessary criteria effectively.

# Revision Table

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Revision Number** | **Date** | **Description** |
| 1.0 | 20/02/25 | Final version |

# Introduction

This document outlines the analysis process I went through regarding specific requirements of the assignment. Two areas of difficulty arose during the completion of this task: one related to finding the correct part of the code to input my personal information, and the other related to the proper uploading of the URL to my planning dashboard on GitHub.

# Contents

[Main body of the report. Include relevant sections and details based on the subject matter.]

## Finding the Correct Section to Input Personal Information

**Requirement:**  
The assignment specified that personal information must be entered in the appropriate section of the code. However, I faced some difficulty in identifying where exactly this information was supposed to be added. The anonymous part of the code, where this information should go, was not clearly referenced or marked.

**Analysis:**  
After an extensive search through the code and documentation, I could not find a direct mention of the anonymous section that required my personal information. It was only after consulting the "S04 - Getting Started" section that I discovered the part where this information was to be included. This delayed my progress, as I initially focused on the wrong sections of the code.

**Conclusion and Action Taken:**  
Once I located the correct section in the "S04 - Getting Started" module, I was able to input my personal information as required. Moving forward, I will ensure to thoroughly review the guidelines in each section before assuming the structure of the code.

**Validation:**  
This requirement has not been validated, but all members of the group have done it the exact same way.

## Uploading the URL to the Planning Dashboard on GitHub

**Requirement:**  
Another task in the assignment required me to upload the URL to my planning dashboard hosted on GitHub. Initially, I was unclear about the process and the specific location for uploading this information.

**Analysis:**  
I could not locate clear instructions on where the URL should be uploaded. I mistakenly assumed it was only necessary to include it within the individual document. It was only after further exploration that I realized the URL needed to be added not only in the document but also in the repository itself. This caused an unnecessary delay in finalizing my submission.

**Conclusion and Action Taken:**  
I have now uploaded the URL to the planning dashboard both in the repository and within the individual document as per the assignment requirements. This experience taught me the importance of thoroughly understanding the submission instructions before proceeding.

**Validation:**  
This url is accesible through the link provided, hence being validated.

## **Struggling with the Planning and Progress Report**

**Requirement:**  
The planning and progress report required me to outline my approach to the assignment, track my progress, and gather all the necessary information to demonstrate how I had been managing my time and resources.

**Analysis:**  
This requirement proved to be particularly challenging as it involved collecting a significant amount of detailed information. The planning required me to think ahead about the structure and timeline of the project, while the progress report required me to document every step taken along the way. Gathering all the necessary details, particularly in terms of tracking the time spent and progress made, required careful consideration and attention to detail. I struggled to compile everything into a cohesive report that accurately reflected my progress.

**Conclusion and Action Taken:**  
To address this challenge, I dedicated additional time to carefully collecting the information needed for both the planning and progress report. This process involved reviewing my work regularly and documenting each step, which took longer than initially anticipated. While it was time-consuming, it helped me ensure a thorough reflection of my progress and planning.

**Validation:**  
The lecturer reviewed the report and validated its contents, confirming that it met the requirements for both the planning and progress sections.

## **Struggling with the Planning and Progress Report**

### **4. Struggling with the Initial Configuration**

**Requirement:**  
The initial configuration of the project involved setting up the development environment and ensuring that the project was correctly imported and running. I needed to successfully set up the environment before proceeding with the actual assignment tasks.

**Analysis:**  
I encountered difficulties during the initial setup of the project, especially with importing the "Hello World" project and configuring the environment. The project dependencies and setup instructions were not immediately clear to me, and I struggled to ensure everything was properly configured for the assignment to run correctly.

**Conclusion and Action Taken:**  
To resolve this issue, I reached out to my lecturer for guidance on importing the "Hello World" project and ensuring that it was properly set up. Additionally, my companions assisted me in importing the project from GitHub and resolving some of the technical issues. Thanks to their support, I was able to get the environment configured and the project up and running.

**Validation:**  
The projecare up and running okay, which validates that the set-ups were correct.

# Conclusions

This assignment required careful attention to detail in order to correctly follow the instructions for submitting personal information and the planning dashboard URL. While the challenges I encountered were related to understanding the structure of the submission, they were resolved with persistence and by carefully consulting the available documentation. The validation by the lecturer has provided clarity on the proper procedures and has helped me understand the expectations for similar tasks in the future.
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